Week 13

Where are we now? Get out the map: PA’s response to Plunkitt will be to bridge the gap between bureaucracy and democracy by building new organizations. In this process, our hands as managers will be tied by bureaucratic rigidity, vague goals, diffusion of implementation responsibility, penetration of the task environment, limited power over employees, conflicts over how and whether to use information, constant demands for increased productivity for tasks that are not very amenable to such improvements, the ever present pressure to lower taxes while delivering more services, political pressure to make hiring and firing decision for political and ideological reasons, and our limited control over the policy process coupled with our responsibility for policy implementation. We will also face pressure to contract out services with claims that private sector contractors can deliver better quality results for less money. We recognize, however, that competition, rather than privatization, is the real key to reducing price and increasing quality. And we are mindful of the fact that accountability, not just saving money, is a constant concern when contracting out.

Lecture Preface for Chapter 12: Americans have lots of governments. Local governments especially come in many configurations, with special districts and independent authorities proliferating. Relationships among these governments have long been a subject of contention in the United States, beginning with the relationship between the federal government and the states: known as federalism. This relationship has changed considerable over the last 150 years, and it is still a subject of controversy, as you will recall from the video The Storm, where confusion over federal, state, and local responsibility during Hurricane Katrina contributed to the many problems of rescue, relief, and recovery. Related problems have plagued states and cities. Recall that cities are the creatures of states; cities and counties are, in other words, created by states, and state legislators are often known to interfere in local affairs, much to the dismay of local politicians. Still, smaller units of government (states, cities) have come to rely on the levels above them for money, through intergovernmental transfers, so while they may complain about interference, they continue to need, and to welcome, the help. Perhaps the most interesting issue in this chapter is the debate over ultralocalism and gargantuan metropolitan government. We are experiencing this debate right now in Miami-Dade County where for many years richer areas of the community have been incorporating into their own cities. Metropolitan Dade County was created in 1957 through a special grant from the state legislature to provide just the sort of regional governance recommended by advocates of gargantuan metropolitanism. However, even back then, and very much today, cities within the county resent interference and often prefer to separate from the large county government so that they can provide their own services at lower cost; that lower cost is often due to the fact that they no longer have to contribute to the county’s efforts to redistribute wealth from richer to poorer areas and because, as economically homogeneous areas, they have fewer poor residents and fewer social problems to address. Incorporation, in other words, is our form of ultralocalism. The current controversy involves recently-incorporated cities that no longer wish to pay the “mitigation fee” that the county charges them after allowing them to form their own cities; that fee means that those cities continue to contribute tax revenues to county, which was a way of slowing the tide of incorporation and preventing the wealthier areas of the county from withdrawing their resources from the poorer areas. As an administrator, how would you feel about parts of your city or county withdrawing their resources by forming their own cities? What is the logical conclusion
of such a process to be, at the local, state, and federal levels? Even if those smaller cities are leaner and more efficient in the delivery of services, is it fair for wealthier areas to secede from larger governments? What are the implications for a multi-ethnic, multi-racial, multi-class society?

When we speak of government in this country, we are actually talking about a multitude of governmental units – federal, state, local – with a variety of reserved, enumerated, and implied powers addressing a range of issues, sometimes overlapping, sometimes conflicting. Federalism is the idea that different levels of government – the federal government and the states, in particular – should be concerned with different issues and activities. So, for example, the federal government is in charge of immigration policy (states and cities do not decide who can enter the country) but states are in charge of marriage policy (there is no discussion of marriage in the federal constitution). However, even with these two clear examples, you can see that there may be good reasons for governments at every level to break out of their traditional boundaries: local governments, who nowadays are faced with greater numbers of illegal immigrants in their jurisdictions, would like to take measures to restrict immigration; at the federal level, there is an effort to make gay marriage unconstitutional. In both cases, governments seek to go beyond their traditional spheres of authority. The big themes here, of course, will be the increasing role of the federal government, especially in the area of grants to states and localities, and how controversial that has been. It is important to remember, however, that subdividing government into smaller and smaller units creates its own problems. Although ultralocal government may be, in theory, more responsive to citizens, it also leads to exclusion and fragmentation.

Plunkitt had his own intergovernmental problems: the interference of the state legislature. Recall that he did not want legislators from rural New York districts robbing the wealth of New York City for their own political ends. But New York City was a “creature” of the legislature, as are all cities: state governments have provisions in their constitutions for the creation and governance of cities, though some big cities have “home rule,” which means they can make more of their own decisions.

Chapter Twelve

INTERGOVERNMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

CHAPTER OVERVIEW
The interactions between federal, state, and local governments are discussed. The financial, legal, political, and administrative relationships between all levels and units of government are defined and explained. Particular attention is paid to the evolution of intergovernmental administration and the historical development of fiscal federalism. The chapter concludes with a discussion of the growing importance of metropolitan governance.
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1. Explain the unique and different features of local government units, in comparison to state and federal governments.
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4. Describe federalism between the states and between the states and their local government units.
5. Explain governmental fragmentation, consolidation, and the growth of metropolitan governance.
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